

## Vážení přátelé, (fyzikové)

Mám problém (přesně opačný než ho má Roger Penrose) a potřeboval bych s ním pomoci, ( tedy možná ten problém má sama fyzika, OTR,...ale říkat „to“ se odvažuju říkat „jen potichu“, na rozdíl od R.Penrose. Ten chce stejný problém řešit „kvantováním gravitace“) Mám názor, už 20 let „všude-možně“ presentovaný, ale s opovržením odmítaný: **Proč věda – fyzikové přiřadili gravitační konstantě „G“ rozměry?** Ehm „Vím, že kdyby je nepřiřadili, byla by OTR v rozměrové nerovnováze, a v závadě v nepravdě, v kolizi ... rozměrová analýza by neseděla,... což nelze připustit. Jenže já to „přiřazení“ rozměrů považuji za podvod (hrubý, a neslychaný), a tento můj **názor** na „G“ je stále důvodem proč mě fyzikové neodpovídají a nečtou to. Jenže by z pozice vědecké korektnosti, měli vyslovit, **sdělit důvod** svého mlčení, a „(ne)podvodu“, oni. Měli by, jsou-li to vědci.

Já sem své argumenty podal (viz níže), ale fyzikové své protiargumenty nepodali. - Je to korektní? ... ?

Ach jo. 20 let pátrám po slušném a vzdělaném fyzikovi, který by mi **dal názor**. Tak >čas od času< napíši své přání vybranému fyzikovi, v naivitě, že se – možná – najde (už konečně) někdo kdo mi problém objasní.

## Otzádky a problém **gravitační konstanty**



- [http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/aa/aa\\_084.pdf](http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/aa/aa_084.pdf)
- [http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/aa/aa\\_139.jpg](http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/aa/aa_139.jpg)
- [http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/f/f\\_072.pdf](http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/f/f_072.pdf)
- [http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/f/f\\_067.jpg](http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/f/f_067.jpg)
- [http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/f/f\\_069.jpg](http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/f/f_069.jpg)
- [http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/f/f\\_070.jpg](http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/f/f_070.jpg)
- [http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/aa/aa\\_336.pdf](http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/aa/aa_336.pdf)
- [http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/aa/aa\\_137.pdf](http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/aa/aa_137.pdf)
- [http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c\\_354.jpg](http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_354.jpg)
- [http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c\\_355.jpg](http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_355.jpg)
- [http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c\\_331.jpg](http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_331.jpg)
- [http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c\\_325.jpg](http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_325.jpg)
- [http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c\\_311.jpg](http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_311.jpg)
- [http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c\\_114.jpg](http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_114.jpg)
- [http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c\\_107.jpg](http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_107.jpg)
- [http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c\\_097.jpg](http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_097.jpg)
- [http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c\\_095.jpg](http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_095.jpg)
- [http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c\\_317.jpg](http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_317.jpg)
- <http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/en/index.php?nav=home>

Děkuji i za stručnou odpověď.

Josef Navrátil, [j\\_navratil@volny.cz](mailto:j_navratil@volny.cz), dat. 15.08.2024

Nyní 10.01.2025 svůj dotaz dávám široké veřejnosti přes web <https://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/>

.....

### Dear friends, (physicists)

I have a problem (exactly the opposite of Roger Penrose's) and I would like help with it, ( maybe physics itself has the problem, OTR,... but I dare to say "that" "only quietly", unlike R. Penrose. He wants to solve the same problem by "quantizing gravity"). I have an opinion, presented "everywhere" for 20 years, but rejected with contempt: **Why science – have physicists assigned dimensions to the gravitational constant “G”?** Ehm,..*I know that if they hadn't assigned them, OTR would be in dimensional imbalance, and in a defect in untruth, in a collision,.. dimensional analysis wouldn't fit,...* which is unacceptable. **But I consider this "assignment" of dimensions to be a fraud** ( rude, and unheard of), and this opinion of mine on "G" is still the reason why physicists don't answer me and don't read it. But from the position of scientific correctness, they should speak out, **communicate the reason** for their silence, and "(non)fraud", them. They should, if they are scientists.

I have presented my arguments (see below), but the physicists have not presented their counterarguments. - Is this correct? ... ?

Oh yeah. I have been looking for 20 years for a decent and educated physicist who would **give me opinion**. So >from time to time< I write my wishes to a selected physicist, in the naive hope that – maybe – there will be (finally) someone who will explain the problem to me. Questions and the problem of the gravitational constant

Thank you for the brief answer. Josef Navrátil, [j.navratil@volny.cz](mailto:j.navratil@volny.cz), date 15.08.2024

Now 10.01.2025

**I am giving my question to the general public via the website <https://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/>**

.....

But OTR cheats in the sense of "assigning dimensions to the gravitational constant", thereby making it a linear equation with dimensional balance. Roger Penrose wants to gravitate QM (change the linearity of quantum mechanics to nonlinearity, I don't know how), and I, on the other hand, want to gravitate OTR (change the linearity of OTR ( $1 = G \cdot M / c^2 \cdot x$ ) to nonlinearity by removing dimensions from the gravitational constant).